IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

Date of Decesion

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1342 OF 1986

THE HON'BLE MISS.JUSTICE R.M.DOSHIT

- 1. Whether Reporters of local NO
 papers may be allowed to see
 the judgment ?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters NO or not ?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish NO to see the fair copy of judgment?
- 4. Whether this case involves a NO substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950, or any other order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to NO the Civil Judge ?

SHRI RAMESHCHANDRA KANTILAL KANSARA AND ANOTHER Versus

GUJARAT ELECTRICITY BOARD AND ANOTHER

Appearance:

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ H.K.Rathod, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Pandya Advocate for the respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MISS.JUSTICE R.M.DOSHIT

DATE;25TH JULY, 1996.

ORAL JUDGMENT:

Heard learned Mr. H.K.Rathod for the petitioners and learned Mr.Pandya for the respondents.

By order dated 13th September, 1985, the petitioners herein were promoted as Plant Operator-I.

The said order of promotion was implemented and all the three petitioners started discharging their duties as Plant Operator-I. By order dated 28th January 1986, the order of promotion dated 13th September 1985 was cancelled and the petitioners and others who were promoted under the said order, were reverted back to their original post of Assistant Operator-I and Line Inspector respectively.

Feeling aggrieved, the petitioners filed this petition and the petitioners' promotion to the post of Plant Operator-I has been protected by this court by interim order. Learned Mr.Rathod appearing for the petitioners states that petitioners nos. 1 and 2 were soon thereafter promoted as Plant Operator-I and he, therefore, does not press this petition for petitioners nos. 1 and 2. Learned Mr.Pandya appearing for the respondents states that the petitioner no.3 had made representation to the respondent-Board and the same has been considered. Learned Mr.Pandya states that petitioner no.3 Shri K.P.Pandya will be given promotion as Plant Operator-I with effect from 1/1/1998 and that he would not be asked to refund the difference of salary paid to him.

In view of the above statement made by learned Mr.Pandya, learned Mr. Rathod seeks leave to withdraw this petition. Leave is granted. Petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated.
